

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/613,291 | 07/02/2003 | Steven M. Akkala | 009801-0004 | 6705 | |
| 20572 | 7590 05/16/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| GODFREY & KAHN S.C. | | | GLESSNER, BRIAN E | | |
| | WATER STREET E, WI 53202 | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | 2, 11 0000 | | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | A Pi Al Al | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | ٠ | | | |
| Office Action Summan | 10/613,291 | AKKALA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Brian E. Glessner | 3635 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply | ation appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ill, by statute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed | on <u>02 July 2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b | o)∏ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the ap | plication. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are | withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction | n and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to t | by the Examiner. Note the attache | d Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: | or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| <u> </u> | ocuments have been received | | | | | |
| | The service of the price of the | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attach == ant(a) | | • | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | A) 🗀 Intonéous | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTG | O-948) Paper No | s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P⁻ Paper No(s)/Mail Date | TO/SB/08) 5) Notice of (6) Other: | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | 3) 🗀 Otiei | ' | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-14, drawn to a hatch, classified in class 52, subclass 19.
- Claims 15-19, drawn to a spring assembly, classified in class 16, subclass
 277.
- III. Claims 20-25, drawn to a method of assembling a hatch, classified in class 52, subclass 745.16.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the hatch has other features that could be patentable. The subcombination has separate utility such as a spring for a door.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for

Application/Control Number: 10/613,291

Art Unit: 3635

using the product could be practiced with another and materially different product such as merely a piece of drywall placed over an opening and raised and lowered manually.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the spring could be used to close a door.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Glessner whose telephone number is 571-272-6843. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 571-272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian E. Glessner Primary Examiner Art Unit 3635

B.G. May 11, 2005